

From: Chris Morris
Sent: 20 August 2024 13:04
To: NI Enquiries
Subject: Re: Interested Party Reference number: 20041905 TR020005 – Gatwick Airport Northern Runway Project

Dear Sir/Madam

My comment and questions relate to the recovered London City appeal question, specifically the following two items in the appeal decision letter and how the current Planning Inspectorate committee view these two issues.

30. Weighing against the proposal are noise effects on local amenity, which carry limited

weight.

31. Transport, climate change and air quality are neutral in the planning balance.

Central Government has decided to permit the application for London City Airport thus negating the voices of the local consulted parties against the application on the grounds of noise and environmental pollution.

The two above items stated in the London City Airport appeal speak volumes of the failure to support the very real concerns of ordinary local residents, who will be affected by the additional noise & pollution and indeed, a citizen might ask why this was not declared at the start of the process for the Public to understand the futility of raising such legitimate concerns at all.

Looking at these two very basic elements from the recovered appeal letter (abbreviated here) 'noise effects' - "**carries limited weight**" and 'air quality' - "**are neutral in the planning balance**".

My questions to the Planning inspectorate undertaking the consultation for Gatwick are;

1. Does the appeal decision in the case of London City Airport have any bearing or alter anything within the current Gatwick Airport application *from the Planning inspectorate's viewpoint?*
2. Will the Planning inspectorate please confirm whether, noise concerns and air quality concerns, were/are already 'weighted' exactly as has been seen in the London City appeal decision that is essentially, they have limited weighting and neutral weighting respectively?

Regards

Chris Morris